

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONCIDMATIONING		
AFFEICATION NO.	FILINO DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNET DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/622,117	07/18/2003	Richard A. Schumacher	MEMORY-29	1640		
24980	24980 7590 07/14/2006			EXAMINER		
MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, PC			POWERS, FIONA			
2200 CLAREI	NDON BLVD					
SUITE 1400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
ARLINGTON	I, VA 22201		1626			

DATE MAILED: 07/14/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)		
	10/622,117	SCHUMACHER ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Fiona T. Powers	1626		

	Fidila I. Fowers	1020	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 29 June 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, a tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in se with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply	affidavit, or other evider n compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	<u>-</u>		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mai (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN T	ling date of the final reject	ion.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date		L 136/a) and the appropria	to ovtansion foo
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amous shortened statutory period for reply of than three months after the mailing	nt of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must b	e filed within two montl	ns of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS			e appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	out prior to the date of filing a bri	ef, will <u>not</u> be entered b	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co			
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	• •		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or			the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally r	ejected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			(D-0)
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		- Kurak Elad amandara	
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		•	_
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	<u> </u>	wiii be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>		• • • • •	_
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under app	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	-	• • • •	
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		·	
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>	t does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	nce because:
12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	
13.  Other: See Continuation Sheet.		Frona T. Br	wes
		Fiona T. Powers	
		Primary Examiner	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the amendment to the specification and claim 49 raises the issue of new matter.

Continuation of 13. Other: The amendment to the specification and claim 49 where Beghet's disease is changed to Behcet's disease is not an obvious typographical error. Applicants have not submitted any evidence to demonstrate that these disease conditions are the same.